Equality Impact Assessment – Ref 1191

Introductory Information

Budget/Project name

Economic Recovery Fund 2022-23

Proposal type

- Budget
- Project

Decision Type

- Committee
- Cabinet Committee (e.g. Cabinet Highways Committee)
- Leader
- Individual Cabinet Member
- Executive Director/Director
- Officer Decisions (Non-Key)
- Council (e.g. Budget and Housing Revenue Account)
- Regulatory Committees (e.g. Licensing Committee)

Committee Chair and Vice Chair

Cllr Martin Smith and Cllr Ben Miskell

Entered on Q Tier • No

○ Yes

Year(s)

1001(0)		
	0	0
22/23	23/24	24/25

EIA date

20/05/22

EIA Lead	
O Adele Robinson	○ Ed Sexton
 Annemarie Johnston 	○ Louise Nunn
 Bashir Khan 	 Michelle Hawley
○ Beth Storm	○ James Henderson
 Diane Owens 	
Person filling in this EIA form	Lead officer
Sarah Lowi Jones	Diana Buckley

Lead Corporate Plan priority

 An In-Touch Organisation 	 Strong Economy 	 Thriving Neighbourhoods 	 Better Health and 	 Tackling Inequalities
		and_Communities	Wellbeing	
		and Communities Page 55		

Portfolio, Service and Team

No

Cross-Portfolio	Portfolio
○ Yes ● No	City Futures, Economic Development and Culture

Is the EIA joint with another organisation (eg NHS)?

○ Yes

Brief aim(s) of the proposal and the outcome(s) you want to achieve

The Economic Recovery Fund is aimed at supporting high street recovery, particularly in district centres, following the Covid-19 pandemic. Previously (in 2021-22) it functioned as a grant fund for collaborations of businesses/other local champions to bid for up to \pm 50k or \pm 200k, but the structure and process for the newly identified \pm 2m for district centres is yet to be decided.

This paper essentially requests a delegation so that the Lead Officer, alongside the Economic Recovery Fund Steering Group, can develop options around the use of this money in this new iteration of the Fund.

Impact

Under the <u>Public Sector Equality Duty</u> we have to pay due regard to the need to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation
- advance equality of opportunity
- foster good relations

More information is available on the <u>Council website</u> including the <u>Community Knowledge</u> <u>Profiles</u>.

Note the EIA should describe impact before any action/mitigation. If there are both negatives and positives, please outline these – positives will be part of any mitigation. The action plan should detail any mitigation.

Overview

Briefly describe how the proposal helps to meet the Public Sector Duty outlined above

This proposal is requesting a delegation of power, but indirectly (based on the ERF 2021-22) there is always the potential for grant funds like this to reward areas with existing networks, stronger social capital and more available resources ahead of those that do not have these things available and may struggle more to work together as a collaboration.

Last year to mitigate this we used the Business Information Officers working across the city to make these connections and work with groups of businesses to support the development of ideas and applications. We would very much aim to do this again and ensure there is support for high streets wanting to access funding to improve their areas and encourage customers back.

In addition, it is suggested that running a version of the Economic Recovery Fund again will give those areas with less advantage an opportunity to benefit.

Impacts

Proposal has an impact on

○ Health	○ Transgender
○ Age	O Carers
 Disability 	 Voluntary/Community & Faith Sectors
 Pregnancy/Maternity 	Cohesion
Race	○ Partners
 Religion/Belief 	 Poverty & Financial Inclusion
○ Sex	O Armed Forces
 Sexual Orientation 	○ Other

Give details in sections below.

Disability	Y				
Staff O Yes	● No	Impact O Positive	O Neutral	 Negative 	
		Level O None	O Low	O Medium	⊖ High
Details of	impact				
Customer ● Yes	rs O No	Impact ● Positive	 Neutral 	 Negative 	
		Level O None	• Low	O Medium	⊖ High
Details of	fimpact				
Where lan	guage may be a y leaders, third		-	e will endeavour to no could assist in b	

Race					
Staff O Yes	● No	Impact O Positive	O Neutral	 Negative 	
		Level O None	○ Low	O Medium	O High
Details of	impact				
Customer		Impact		O Negativa	
• Yes	Ο Νο	 Positive 	○ Neutral	○ Negative	
		Pa	age 57		

	Level O None	• Low	O Medium	⊖ High
Details of impact				
Where language may b	e a harrier to engagi	ng husinesses	we will endeavour to	a work with
community leaders, thi		-		
discussions.				

Voluntar	y/Commu	nity & Faith S	Sectors		
Staff O Yes	● No	Impact O Positive	 Neutral 	 Negative 	
		Level O None	○ Low	O Medium	O High
Details of	impact				
Customer ● Yes	s O No	Impact ● Positive	 Neutral 	 Negative 	
		Level O None	O Low	O Medium	⊖ High
Details of	impact				
Where lang	guage may be a y leaders, third		-	e will endeavour to no could assist in bi	

Cohesion					
Staff O Yes	● No	Impact O Positive	 Neutral 	 Negative 	
		Level O None	○ Low	O Medium	O High
Details of in	npact				
Customers ● Yes	O No	Impact ● Positive	O Neutral	 Negative 	
		Level O None	• Low	O Medium	⊖ High
		Pac	je 58		

Details of impact

In the first iteration of the Fund we have not seen any issues with collaborations around a particular community excluding others, thus creating some tension in local areas. We have looked to ensure collaborations were inclusive. It is possible that giving opportunity and encouraging different groups to come round a table and work together may increase local cohesion.

Poverty 8	& Finan	cial Inclusion			
Staff O Yes	● No	Impact O Positive	 Neutral 	 Negative 	
		Level O None	○ Low	O Medium	⊖ High
Details of	impact				
Customer ● Yes	s O No	Impact ● Positive	 Neutra 	O Negative	
		Level O None	• Low	O Medium	⊖ High
Details of	impact				
time and would give other loca where this where this application difficult to opportuni	resources e them ac I champic s was an i rd sector ns. As no come too ty to do s	a areas of poverty but to come together are cess to the Economic ons and leaders could ssue. We have seen groups and Councillo ted above, it is also gether in the short ti o now with the benef area Committee team	nd develop in c Recovery I d step in and this during ors have led likely that the mescale in 2 fit of suppor	deas and application Fund. It is also the I support them in of the first iteration the development of hose areas that for 2021 would have the	ons that e case that doing so of the Fund of und it more he
		d again those initially fit from the Fund.	/ less able w	rould now have the	opportunity

Action Plan and Supporting Evidence

Action Plan

We will continue to ensure that our Equality Duty is part of the decision making by the Director and Steering Group for this piece of work. We will continue to try and mitigate any impacts on protected, vulnerable or disadvantaged groups by putting resources in place to support them in applying to the Fund. We will be proactive in approaching less advantaged areas of the city to raise awareness and encourage engagement with the scheme. We will continue to be cognisant of where activity is happening in developing applications across the city, so that if the Steering Group sees areas that are not represented we can apply additional time and resource in those areas to make sure that this is not a result of any disadvantage. Where language may be a barrier in engaging with businesses we will endeavour to work with community leaders, third sector organisations and others who could assist in brokering discussions.

Supporting Evidence (Please detail all your evidence used to support the EIA)

We did not request EDI information from people enquiring about or applying to the Fund, so we do not have strong evidence as to whether any specific groups had disproportionate success or found it harder to access the Fund.

As a project we have tracked the spread of projects that are part of ERF by LAC area, which is as follows: North = 1 North-East = 3 East = 1 South-East = 2 South-East = 2 South-West = 1 Central = 5 Multiple/city-wide = 2 City centre specific = 10 (some received ARG funding and not ERF – 4 of those received ERF funding only, one had mixed funding and 5 were funded through ARG but went through ERF processes to access that funding – the purpose was to bolster the Fund and protect district centre spending.)

The spread is not, and was not intended to be, evenly distributed across LAC areas and in some ways the spread reflects the density of economic activity and is therefore we would expect and want to see projects come forward. However, there is no doubt that projects will need to come from new areas in the coming year.

Consultation

Consultation required ○ Yes ● No

If consultation is not required please state why

Are Staff who may be affected by these proposals aware of them \bullet Yes \bigcirc No Page 60

Are Customers who may be affected by these proposals aware of them ○ Yes ● No

If you have said no to either please say why

This is not a project affecting Council customers directly, it is open across District Centres in the city. While there is awareness of the Economic Recovery Fund across the city, we have not consulted on the new iteration, this report simply requests a delegation of authority to allow the Steering Group to work up and decide on options.

Summary of overall impact

Summary of overall impact

Overall this is a positive project as it provides additional resource to support individuals and businesses effected by the economic impacts of Covid-19.

To ensure equality of access to the Fund, the following actions are being put in place:

- Raising equality of access and inclusion as a specific risk on the risk register in order to put in place mitigations and ensure regular assessment of this by the Steering Group.
- Ensuring that resource is allocated to areas that need capacity and help in drawing together a collaboration, in order to support them developing ideas and bringing forward applications.
- Where language may be a barrier to engaging businesses, we will endeavour to work with community leaders, third sector organisations and others who could assist in brokering discussions.
- Demographic information will be requested at the point of application to understand whether any groups are over/under-represented in and throughout the process.

Summary of evidence

It is suggested that for 2022-23 we request EDI information from applicants so that we have a better understanding of who accessed the scheme and was successful in securing funding for their areas.

Changes made as a result of the EIA

We will ensure that we request information from applicants and monitor the success of protected groups through the scoring process. Should there be any sense that any group is disproportionately not winning funding, the Steering Group will assess this and agree a set of actions to encourage and support those groups to come forward.

Escalation plan

Is there a high impact in any area? ○ Yes ● No

Overall risk rating after any mitigations have been put in place ○ High ○ Medium ● Low ○ None

Page 61

Sign Off				
EIAs must be agreed and signed off by the equality lead in your Portfolio or corporately. Has this been signed off?				
• Yes	○ No			
EIA Lead: An	nemarie Johnston			
Date agreed	26/05/2022			

